arXiv:hep-th/9705005v2 22 May 1997

YITP-97-19
May 1997

Lorentz Symmetry of Supermembrane in Light Cone Gauge Formulation

Kiyoshi EZAWA[*1], Yutaka MATSUO[2], Koichi MURAKAMI[3]

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics
Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-01, Japan

Abstract

We prove the Lorentz symmetry of supermembrane theory in the light cone gauge to complete the program initiated by de Wit, Marquard and Nicolai. We give some comments on extending the formulation to the M(atrix) theory.

hep-th/9705005

---

[*] JSPS fellow

[1] e-mail address : ezawa@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp
[2] e-mail address : yutaka@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp
[3] e-mail address : murakami@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

1 Introduction

After the discovery of the string duality, our perception of the string theory was drastically changed. What used to be the obscure inhabitants of the string theory, the p-branes, turned out to be the key ingredients of the non-perturbative physics. M-theory is supposed to be one of the most symmetric form of the "string" theory. However, because of our ignorance of the quantization of the p-branes, the very definition of the theory has been largely unknown.

By critical use of the simplification due to the infinite momentum frame, BFSS [1] proposed a constructive definition of the M-theory. The momentum along the eleventh dimension is identified with the zero-brane charge. The infinite boost kills the degree of freedom which has zero (fundamental string) and negative (anti-zero brane) charges. The resulting Lagrangian is made up only with the zero-branes described by the large N limit of the $SU(N)$ Yang-Mills theory. BFSS have indicated two major evidences which support their idea.

1. The matrix theory Lagrangian coincides with that of supermembrane proposed by de Wit, Hoppe and Nicolai (dWHN) [2] if one replaces the gauge group from $SU(N)$ to the area preserving diffeomorphism (APD) in two dimensions.
2. The scattering of the zero-branes coincides with the prediction of the eleven dimensional supergravity.

As usual, the subtlety in the infinite momentum frame is the Lorentz symmetry. This problem is very difficult to analyze in the matrix theory since the momentum exchange in the eleventh dimension means the exchange of zero-brane charge. We need to treat the quantum process which changes the size of matrices[1].

On the other hand, the analysis of the similar problem in the dWHN model is accessible since we know the covariant Lagrangian in eleven dimensions. Indeed this program was nearly accomplished by de Wit, Marquard and Nicolai (dWMN)[4]. They defined the Lorentz generators and have shown that they commute with the Hamiltonian of the system. In their proof, they essentially used various identities of the APD tensors.

The purpose of this technical note is to complete this program, namely to give the direct computation of the algebra of the Lorentz generators. Our result supports the Lorentz symmetry after the cancellation among numerous non-trivial factors. We need to prove some additional identities of the APD tensors to finalize our result. In section two, we briefly review the result of dWMN to make this note self-contained. In section three, we summarize our proof of the Lorentz invariance. In section four, we give a discussion on the possible extension of our result to the M(atrix) theory. One of the generators of Lorentz algebra depends essentially on the metric of the membrane world volume. Therefore, it is not invariant under the APD and it causes some nontriviality. We argue that this fact might give a hint to eleven dimensional definition of M-theory. Explicit computations and technical comments are provided in the appendix. In appendix A, we describe the identities between the APD tensors. In appendix B, we summarize properties of the Clifford algebra of $SO(9)$. The identities in these sections are used in appendices C and D to prove the Lorentz algebra.

---

[1] Beautiful treatment of this issue is recently proposed by Polchinski and Pouliot [3] by considering scattering of two membranes where zero-brane charge can be treated as the monopole charge on the world brane. In this setting, the zero-brane exchange can be calculated by the instanton calculus on the world brane.

2 Summary of dWHN model

DWHN model [2] is defined as a $0+1$ dimensional supersymmetric Yang-Mills system whose gauge group is the APD of a fixed two dimensional manifold. The Lagrangian (slightly modified from the original definition) is

$$\sqrt{w}^{-1}\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(D_0\vec{X})^2 + \frac{i}{2}\theta D_0\theta - \frac{1}{4}\left(\left\{X^a, X^b\right\}\right)^2 + \frac{i}{2}\theta\gamma_a\left\{X^a, \theta\right\}, \tag{1}$$

where the definitions of the notation are following. $X^a(t,\sigma^r)$, $\theta_\alpha(t,\sigma^r)$ ($a = 1,\ldots,9$, $\alpha = 1,\ldots,16$, $r = 1,2$) are the quantum mechanical variables whose internal degree of freedom is described by two parameters σ. The indices a and α are respectively the vector and the spinor degrees of freedom of $SO(9)$. w_{ij} is the 2×2 metric tensor for the parameter space and w is its determinant. The curly bracket, $\{A,B\} \equiv \frac{\epsilon^{rs}}{\sqrt{w(\sigma)}}\partial_r A(\sigma)\partial_s B(\sigma)$, and the covariant derivative, $D_0X^a = \partial_0 X^a - \{\omega, X^a\}$, $D_0\theta = \partial_0\theta - \{\omega,\theta\}$, define the gauge transformation based on the APD,

$$\delta X^a = \{\xi, X^a\}, \quad \delta\theta = \{\xi,\theta\}, \quad \delta\omega = \partial_0\xi + \{\xi,\omega\}.$$

The canonical Hamiltonian is

$$\begin{aligned} H &= -\int d^2\sigma P^-(\sigma) \\ &= \frac{1}{P_0^+}\int d^2\sigma\sqrt{w(\sigma)}\left(\frac{1}{2}w^{-1}\vec{P}^2 + \frac{1}{4}\left(\left\{X^a, X^b\right\}\right)^2 - \frac{i}{2}\theta\gamma_a\left\{X^a,\theta\right\}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

$\vec{P}$ denotes the canonical momentum conjugate to $\vec{X}$. The non-vanishing Dirac brackets are

$$\begin{aligned} \left(X^a(\sigma), P^b(\rho)\right)_{DB} &= \delta^{ab}\delta^{(2)}(\sigma,\rho), \\ \left(\theta_\alpha(\sigma), \theta_\beta(\rho)\right)_{DB} &= -\frac{i}{\sqrt{w(\sigma)}}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta^{(2)}(\sigma,\rho). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The Gauss law constraints associated with the APD can be written as $\varphi(\sigma) \approx 0$ and $\varphi^\lambda \approx 0$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\sigma) &\equiv -\left\{\frac{P^a(\sigma)}{w(\sigma)}, X^a(\sigma)\right\} - \frac{i}{2}\left\{\theta(\sigma),\theta(\sigma)\right\}, \\ \varphi^\lambda &\equiv -\int d^2\sigma\Phi^{(\lambda)r}(\sigma)\left(\vec{P}(\sigma)\cdot\partial_r\vec{X}(\sigma) + \frac{i}{2}\sqrt{w(\sigma)}\theta(\sigma)\partial_r\theta(\sigma)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

$\Phi^{(\lambda)r}$ is the basis of the harmonic vectors in the parameter space[4].

The light cone directions are expressed through $X^{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(X^{10} \pm X^0)$ where one of them is identified with the world volume time variable $X^+(\tau) = X^+(0) + \tau$. The other one is defined by

$$\partial_r X^-(\sigma) = -\frac{1}{P_0^+}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{w(\sigma)}}\vec{P}(\sigma)\cdot\partial_r\vec{X}(\sigma) + \frac{i}{2}\theta(\sigma)\partial_r\theta(\sigma)\right). \tag{5}$$

The integrability conditions of this differential equation coincide with the Gauss law constraints. When integrated, it gives

$$X^-(\sigma) = q^- - \frac{1}{P_0^+}\int d^2\rho G^r(\sigma,\rho)\left(\vec{P}(\rho)\cdot\partial_r\vec{X}(\rho) + \frac{i}{2}\sqrt{w(\rho)}\theta(\rho)\partial_r\theta(\rho)\right), \tag{6}$$

where the integration constant satisfies $(q^-, P_0^+)_{DB} = 1$ and $G^r(\sigma,\rho)$ is the Green function defined by $D_r^\rho G^r(\sigma,\rho) = -(w(\sigma))^{-1/2}\delta^{(2)}(\sigma,\rho) + 1$.

This system has supersymmetry generated by

$$\begin{aligned} Q^+ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{P_0^+}}\int d^2\sigma\left(P^a\gamma_a + \frac{\sqrt{w}}{2}\left\{X^a, X^b\right\}\gamma_{ab}\right)\theta, \\ Q^- &= \sqrt{P_0^+}\int d^2\sigma\sqrt{w(\sigma)}\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The Lorentz generators are defined by,

$$\begin{aligned} M^{ab} &= \int d^2\sigma\left(-P^aX^b + P^bX^a - \frac{i}{4}\theta\gamma^{ab}\theta\right), \\ M^{+-} &= \int d^2\sigma\left(-P^+X^- + P^-X^+\right), \\ M^{+a} &= \int d^2\sigma(-P^+X^a + P^aX^+), \\ M^{-a} &= \int d^2\sigma\left(P^aX^- - P^-X^a - \frac{i}{4P_0^+}\theta\gamma^{ab}\theta P_b - \frac{i\sqrt{w}}{8P_0^+}\left\{X_b, X_c\right\}\theta\gamma^{abc}\theta\right). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

DWMN [4] proved that these generators satisfy,

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}M = \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}M + (M,H)_{DB} = 0, \tag{9}$$

namely the conservation of these charges. Although this is a nontrivial consistency check, it is obviously important to prove that the Dirac brackets between these charges indeed satisfy the Lorentz algebra.

As in [4], we carried out our computation by using mode expansion in two dimensional parameter space. To define the basis, we pick the covariant Laplacian in the parameter space and define the basis as its eigenfunctions,

$$\Delta Y_0 = 0, \quad \Delta Y_A = -\omega_A Y_A \tag{10}$$

where $\omega_A > 0$[2]. The index A will take value in positive integers. When we need to treat both zero- and non-zero modes we will use indices I,J. We may require them to satisfy the orthonormal condition,

$$\int d^2\sigma \sqrt{w(\sigma)} Y^I(\sigma) Y_J(\sigma) = \delta^I{}_J \qquad Y^I \equiv Y_I^* = \eta^{IJ} Y_J. \tag{11}$$

The completeness condition is

$$\sum_A Y^A(\sigma) Y_A(\rho) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{w(\sigma)}} \delta^{(2)}(\sigma, \rho) - 1. \tag{12}$$

All fields will be expanded in terms of Y_I such as, $X^a(\sigma) = \sum_I X^{aI} Y_I(\sigma)$. The Green function which appeared in the definition of X^- is then expanded as,

$$G^r(\sigma, \rho) = \sum_A \frac{1}{\omega_A} Y^A(\sigma) \partial^r Y_A(\rho). \tag{13}$$

The structure constant of the APD is given by

$$f_{ABC} \equiv \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{w(\sigma)} Y_A(\sigma) \left\{ Y_B(\sigma), Y_A(\sigma) \right\}, \quad \left\{ Y_A, Y_B \right\} = f_{ABC} Y^C. \tag{14}$$

We also define,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{ABC} &= \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{w(\sigma)} Y_A(\sigma) Y_B(\sigma) Y_C(\sigma) \\ c_{ABC} &= -2 \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{w(\sigma)} \frac{w^{rs}}{\omega_A} \partial_r Y_A Y_B \partial_s Y_C. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

The tensor c_{ABC} is motivated to express the mode expansion of the Green's function and is indispensable to express X^-. Whereas the tensors f and d are invariant under APD, c is not invariant because it depends explicitly on the metric. DWMN have argued that there is no modification which makes it invariant. In this sense, it is a challenge to find the analogue of this constant when we treat the M(atrix) theory. We will come back to this issue later.

In terms of the coefficients of mode expansion, the Dirac brackets are rewritten as,

$$\begin{aligned} (X^a_A, P^b_B)_{DB} &= \delta^{ab} \eta_{AB}, \\ (\theta_{\alpha A}, \theta_{\beta B})_{DB} &= -i \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \eta_{AB}, \\ (q^-, P^+_0)_{DB} &= 1, \\ (X^a_0, P^b_0)_{DB} &= \delta^{ab}, \\ (\theta_{\alpha 0}, \theta_{\beta 0})_{DB} &= -i \delta_{\alpha,\beta}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Let us write down the mode expansion of various conserved charges. The elements of the APD are given by,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_A &= f_{ABC} \left(\vec{X}^B \cdot \vec{P}^C - \frac{i}{2} \theta^B \theta^C \right) \\ \varphi_\lambda &= f_{\lambda BC} \left(\vec{X}^B \cdot \vec{P}^C - \frac{i}{2} \theta^B \theta^C \right) \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

---

[2] We consider the case when the parameter space is compact and the spectrum is discrete.

As dWMN indicated, to describe Lorentz generators, it is convenient to separate the zero-mode and others.

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= \frac{\vec{P}_0^2}{2P_0^+} + \frac{\mathcal{M}^2}{2P_0^+} \\
\mathcal{M}^2 &= \vec{P}_A^2 + \frac{1}{2}(f_{ABC}X_a^B X_b^C)^2 - i f_{ABC}\theta^A\gamma^a X_a^B\theta^C \qquad (18) \\
M^{ab} &= -P_0^a X_0^b + P_0^b X_0^a - \frac{i}{4}\theta_0\gamma^{ab}\theta_0 - P_A^a X^{bA} + P_A^b X^{aA} - \frac{i}{4}\theta_A\gamma^{ab}\theta^A \\
M^{+-} &= -P_0^+ q^- - H\tau, \\
M^{+a} &= -P_0^+ X_0^a + \tau P_0^a. \qquad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

We write M^{-a} in the following form,

$$M^{-a} = (M^{-a})^{(0)} + \frac{1}{P_0^+}\left(P_{0b}\widetilde{M}^{ab} - \frac{i}{2}\theta_0\gamma^a\widetilde{Q}^+\right) + \frac{1}{P_0^+}\widetilde{M}^{-a}, \qquad (20)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(M^{-a})^{(0)} &= q^- P_0^a + X_0^a H - \frac{i}{4P_0^+}\theta_0\gamma^{ab}\theta_0 P_0^b, \\
\widetilde{Q}^+ &= (P_A^a + \frac{1}{2}f_{ABC}X_a^B X_b^C\gamma^{ab})\theta^A, \\
\widetilde{M}^{ab} &= -P_A^a X^{bA} + P_A^b X^{aA} - \frac{i}{4}\theta_A\gamma^{ab}\theta^A \\
\widetilde{M}^{-a} &= \frac{1}{2}d^{ABC}X_A^a(\vec{P}_B\cdot\vec{P}_C + \frac{1}{2}(f_B^{DE}X_D^b X_E^c)(f_C^{FG}X_F^b X_G^c) - i f_C^{DE}X_D^b\theta_B\gamma_b\theta_E) \\
&\quad -\frac{i}{4}d^{ABC}P_{Ab}\theta_B\gamma^{ab}\theta_C + \frac{1}{2}c^{ABC}P_A^a(\vec{P}_B\cdot\vec{X}_C + \frac{i}{2}\theta_B\theta_C) \\
&\quad -\frac{i}{8}f^{ABC}d_A^{DE}X_{Bb}X_{Cc}\theta_D\gamma^{abc}\theta_E. \qquad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

3 Lorentz symmetry in supermembrane

In this section we summarize our proof of the Lorentz symmetry. The detail is explained in appendices C and D. What we want to do is to show that we have the eleven dimensional Lorentz algebra:

$$(M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\rho\sigma})_{DB} = \eta^{\mu\rho}M^{\nu\sigma} + \eta^{\nu\sigma}M^{\mu\rho} - \eta^{\mu\sigma}M^{\nu\rho} - \eta^{\nu\rho}M^{\mu\sigma}, \qquad (22)$$

where the indices μ, ν, ρ and σ run the eleven dimensional space-time indices $+, -, 1, \cdots, 9$.

DWMN have shown

$$\begin{aligned}
(\tilde{M}^{ab}, \mathcal{M}^2)_{DB} &= 0, \qquad (23) \\
(\tilde{Q}^+, \mathcal{M}^2)_{DB} &= 2\theta_A\varphi^A, \qquad (24) \\
(\tilde{M}^{-a}, \mathcal{M}^2)_{DB} &= -\left(f_B{}^{DE}X_D^a\vec{X}_C\cdot\vec{X}_E - \frac{i}{2}\theta_B\gamma^a\theta_C\right)(c^{ABC}\varphi_A + c^{\lambda BC}\varphi_\lambda). \qquad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

The RHS vanishes in the physical subspace. By using these relations and the Dirac bracket $(q^-, P_+^0)_{DB} = 1$, we can easily prove the Lorentz algebra(22) except $(M^{-a}, M^{-b})_{DB} = 0$, modulo the first class constraints.

Proof of the only nontrivial part $(M^{-a}, M^{-b})_{DB} = 0$ goes as follows. By separating zero and non-zero modes we find [3]

$$(M^{-a}, M^{-b})_{DB} = \frac{1}{(P_0^+)^2}(C + D), \tag{26}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C &= (-\mathcal{M}^2 \tilde{M}^{ab} - \frac{i}{4}\tilde{Q}^+ \gamma^{ab} \tilde{Q}^+) + (\tilde{M}^{-a}, \tilde{M}^{-b})_{DB} \ , & (27) \\ D &= -\frac{i}{2}\theta_0 \gamma^a (\tilde{Q}^+, \tilde{M}^{-b})_{DB} + \frac{i}{2}\theta_0 \gamma^b (\tilde{Q}^+, \tilde{M}^{-a})_{DB}. & (28) \end{aligned}$$

In the appendices C and D we will show that the following relations hold modulo the first class constraints,

$$\begin{aligned} C &= 0, & (29) \\ (\tilde{Q}^+, \tilde{M}^{-a})_{DB} &= 0. & (30) \end{aligned}$$

Here we only quote the final result.

1. The first equation (29):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{i}{2}\left(X_A^a(\theta^A\gamma^b\theta_D) - X^b(\theta^A\gamma^a\theta_D)\right)\varphi^D \\
&-\frac{i}{4}\left(X_A^a(\theta_B\gamma^b\theta_E) - X_A^b(\theta_B\gamma^a\theta_E)\right)d^{ABC}(c_{DC}{}^E\varphi^D + c_{\lambda C}{}^E\varphi^\lambda) \\
&+\frac{i}{2}(\theta_D\gamma^{abd}\theta^D)X_{dE}\varphi^E \\
&-\frac{i}{4}(\theta_D\gamma^{abd}\theta_E)X_{dC}d_A{}^{DE}(c_B{}^{AC}\varphi^B + c_\lambda{}^{AC}\varphi^\lambda) \\
&+\left(-\frac{1}{\omega_A}(\frac{1}{\omega_C}f^{CAB}\varphi_C + f^{\lambda AB}\varphi_\lambda) + \frac{1}{2\omega_A\omega_B}f_C{}^{AB}\varphi^C\right)(P_A^aP_B^b - P_A^bP_B^a) \\
&-\frac{1}{2}d^{AIC}f_I{}^{DE}(X_A^aX_E^b - X_A^bX_E^a)\vec{X}_D\cdot\vec{X}_F(c_{HC}{}^F\varphi^H + c_{\lambda C}{}^F\varphi^\lambda) \\
&-f^{DAE}(X_A^aX_E^b - X_A^bX_E^a)\vec{X}_D\cdot\vec{X}_F\varphi^F.
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

---

[3] In this calculation we have used the relation:

$$\Big((M^{-a})^{(0)}, (M^{-b})^{(0)}\Big)_{DB} = \frac{i}{4(P_0^+)^2}\theta_0\gamma^{ab}\theta_0\mathcal{M}^2.$$

2. The second equation (30):

$$\theta_{\alpha C} X^a_D d^{BD}{}_E \varphi^E + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^{ad}\theta_C)_\alpha X_{dD}(c_E{}^{CD}\varphi^E + c_\lambda{}^{CD}\varphi^\lambda). \tag{32}$$

In the physical subspace where $\varphi^A, \varphi^\lambda \approx 0$ both of the equations vanish. This completes the proof of the Lorentz invariance of the dWHN model.

4 Discussion: Lorentz invariance of M(atrix) Theory

Although our computation is rather tedious, it has a merit that it can be carried out quite systematically. Therefore we are eager to speculate that such an analysis may be applicable to prove the Lorentz invariance of the M(atrix) theory in the large N limit.

Indeed there are well known correspondence between $SU(N)$ in the large N limit and the APD. For the simpler part, the translation table is given as follows,

APD	$SU(N)$
$Y^A(\sigma)$	T_A
$\{X, Y\}$	$[X, Y]$
$\int d^2\sigma\sqrt{w(\sigma)}X(\sigma)$	Tr X
$\left\{Y^A, Y^B\right\} = f^{AB}{}_C Y^C$	$\left[T^A, T^B\right] = f^{AB}{}_C T^C$
$Y^A Y^B = d^{AB}{}_C Y^C$	$\left[T^A, T^B\right]_+ = d^{AB}{}_C T^C$

When we compute the anti-commutator of $SO(9)$ supercharges to study the appearance of p-branes of various dimensions, these two theory were essentially the same [2][5] except for the vanishing of the five brane charges in the supermembrane approach[4]. In such computation the corresponding generators of the M(atrix) theory are available after the use of above dictionary. It means the computation does not depend on particular geometry of the world volume.

For the calculation of the Lorentz invariance, on the other hand, we need to introduce the third tensor c_{ABC} which depends explicitly on the metric of the parameter space and the direct translation becomes more involved. Of course, when the geometry of the parameter space is fixed (say the Riemann surface of genus g), we already know the non-commutative analogue of the surface which can be embedded in the large N limit of $SU(N)$[6]. In such a situation, the construction of the corresponding Lorentz generators in M(atrix) theory becomes possible. For that purpose, it is convenient to indicate an identity for the tensor c_{ABC} (see the appendix E for the proof),

$$c_{ABC} = \left(\frac{\omega_B - \omega_C}{\omega_A} - 1\right) d_{ABC}. \tag{33}$$

---

[4] Some discrepancy observed in [5] can be removed when we carefully keep the Schwinger term in the matrix computation. See appendix F for detail.

This formula shows that once the notion of the Laplacian is generalized to the M(atrix) theory we can construct the tensor c. Because we take the orthogonal basis Y^I as the eigenfunction of the Laplacian, the basis of $SU(N)$ should be also taken from eigenvectors that diagonalize such an operator. If the Laplacian thus defined have definite "classical" limit in $N \to \infty$, the relations among the tensors f, d, c will also hold in this limit. This means we will recover the Lorentz invariance. We have now the second type of correspondence.

APD	$SU(N)$
Δ	$\widetilde{\Delta}$
ω_A	$\widetilde{\omega}_A$
$\Delta Y_A = -\omega_A Y_A$	$\widetilde{\Delta} T_A = -\widetilde{\omega}_A T_A$

Let us illustrate the idea in a concrete example, namely the case of the (non-commutative) torus. In the APD case, the base of the mode expansion is nothing but the Fourier expansion,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_A &= \exp(i(A_1\sigma_1 + A_2\sigma_2)), \\ \Delta Y_A &= -\omega_A Y_A, \quad \omega_A = |\vec{A}|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

On the $SU(N)$ side the corresponding basis is

$$\begin{aligned} T_A &= N z^{\frac{1}{2}A_1 A_2} \Omega_2^{A_1} \Omega_1^{A2}, \\ \Omega_1\Omega_2 &= z\Omega_2\Omega_1, \qquad z = e^{2\pi i/N}. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

The analogue of the Laplacian in this theory may be picked up by using the adjoint action of Ω as,

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Delta} &\equiv -\frac{N^2}{4\pi^2}\left(\mathrm{Ad}(\Omega_1) + \mathrm{Ad}(\Omega_2) + \mathrm{Ad}(\Omega_1^{-1}) + \mathrm{Ad}(\Omega_2^{-1}) - 4\right), \\ \widetilde{\Delta} T_A &= -\widetilde{\omega}_A T_A, \\ \widetilde{\omega}_A &= -\frac{N^2}{4\pi^2}(z^{A_1} + z^{A_2} + z^{-A_1} + z^{-A_2} - 4). \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

In the large N limit, we restore the relation in the continuous limit $\lim_{N\to\infty} \widetilde{\omega}_A = \omega_A$. Obviously, in such a situation, one may define the matrix model analogue of the Lorentz generator in such a way that it gives the correct commutation relation.

The message here is that we need to specify the Laplacian in the M(atrix) theory to define the Lorentz generators. It should be encoded in the eleven dimensional definition of M theory and have to be tightly restricted since otherwise the various identities discussed in appendix A will be violated and so is the Lorentz symmetry. The situation reminds us of the fact that the consistent string background is depicted by the conformal invariance which is closely related to the Lorentz symmetry in the light cone gauge. One might say that the background dependence of M(atrix) theory appear here as the choice of the Laplacian and the constraint on it comes from the Lorentz invariance as the tensor identities.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank M. Ninomiya, M. Anazawa, A. Ishikawa, K. Sugiyama for invaluable discussions, comments, and encouragements. We are also obliged to S. Watamura for enjoyable conversation on the non-commutative geometry.

Note Added: After we submitted this paper, we are notified that the Lorentz algebra was also computed in the unpublished work [7]. We also added appendix F to clarify our argument in section 4. We thank H. Nicolai for the information and the comments.

A APD Identities

In this section we present several identities satisfied by the APD tensors. Let us recall the definitions of three tensors in (14) and (15):

$$\begin{aligned} f_{ABC} &= \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{w(\sigma)} Y_A(\sigma)\{Y_B(\sigma), Y_C(\sigma)\}, & (37)\\ d_{ABC} &= \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{w(\sigma)} Y_A(\sigma) Y_B(\sigma) Y_C(\sigma), & (38)\\ c_{ABC} &= -2\int d^2\sigma \sqrt{w(\sigma)} \frac{w^{rs}(\sigma)}{\omega_A} \partial_r Y_A(\sigma) Y_B(\sigma) \partial_s Y_C(\sigma). & (39)\end{aligned}$$

From the above definitions it is evident that f_{ABC} is totally antisymmetric and d_{ABC} is totally symmetric. The basis functions Y_A satisfy the completeness relations,

$$\sum_A Y^A(\sigma) Y_A(\rho) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{w(\sigma)}} \delta^{(2)}(\sigma,\rho) - 1, \tag{40}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_A \frac{1}{\omega_A}\left[D^r Y_A(\sigma) D^s Y^A(\rho) + \frac{\epsilon^{rt}}{\sqrt{w(\sigma)}} \partial_t Y_A(\sigma) \frac{\epsilon^{su}}{\sqrt{w(\rho)}} \partial_u Y^A(\rho) \right] \\ &\qquad = \frac{w^{rs}(\sigma)}{\sqrt{w(\sigma)}} \delta^{(2)}(\sigma,\rho) - \sum_\lambda \Phi^{(\lambda)r}(\sigma) \Phi^{(\lambda)s}(\rho). \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

By using (40) and integrating by parts, the authors of [4] showed that the APD tensors satisfy several identities:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{[AB}{}^E f_{C]DE} &= 0, \\ c_{ABC} + c_{ACB} &= 2\int d^2\sigma \sqrt{w(\sigma)} \frac{1}{\omega_A} \Delta Y_A(\sigma) Y_B(\sigma) Y_C(\sigma) = -2 d_{ABC}, \\ c_{DE}{}^{[A} f^{BC]E} &= 2\int d^2\sigma \sqrt{w(\sigma)} \frac{w^{rs}(\sigma)}{\omega_D} \partial_r Y_D(\sigma) \partial_s Y^{[A}(\sigma) \{Y^C(\sigma), Y^{B](\sigma)}\} = 0, \\ d_{ABC} f^A{}_{[DE} f^B{}_{F]G} &= \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{w(\sigma)} Y_C(\sigma) \{Y_{[D}(\sigma), Y_E(\sigma)\}\{Y_{F]}(\sigma), Y_G(\sigma)\} = 0, \\ f_{A(B}{}^E d_{CD)E} &= \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{w(\sigma)} \frac{1}{3} \{Y_A(\sigma)\, ,\, Y_B(\sigma) Y_C(\sigma) Y_D(\sigma)\} = 0, \\ d_{EA[B} d_{C]D}{}^E &= \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{w(\sigma)} Y_A Y_{[B} Y_{C]} Y_D - \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{w(\sigma)} Y_A Y_{[B} \int d^2\rho \sqrt{w(\rho)} Y_{C]} Y_D \\ &= -\eta_{A[B} \eta_{C]D}. \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

The first identity is nothing but Jacobi identity. In the last step to derive the third and fourth ones, they used the fact that the parameter space of the APD gauge group is two dimensional (Schouten's identity). The others are straightforward. They also found from (41) that

$$f_{AB}{}^{E}c_{ECD}=c_{EAB}f^{E}{}_{CD}-2f_{BD}{}^{E}d_{ACE}+\sum_{\lambda}c_{\lambda AB}f^{\lambda}{}_{CD}, \tag{43}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\lambda AB} &= \int d^2\sigma\sqrt{w(\sigma)}\Phi^{(\lambda)t}(\sigma)\partial_t Y_B(\sigma)Y_A(\sigma), \\ c_{\lambda AB} &= -2\int d^2\sigma\epsilon^{rs}\Phi^{(\lambda)}_r(\sigma)\partial_s Y_B(\sigma)Y_A(\sigma). \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Besides these identities we have derived

$$-c^{ABC}d_C{}^{EF}+2c^{AC(E}d_C{}^{F)B}=4\eta^{A(E}\eta^{F)B}, \tag{45}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{4}(c_C{}^{FE}c^{[AB]C}+c^{[A|F|}{}_{C}c^{B]CE}) \\ &\qquad =-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\omega_A}-\frac{1}{\omega_B}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\omega_C}f_C{}^{EF}f^{CAB}+f_\lambda{}^{EF}f^{\lambda AB}\right)+\frac{1}{2\omega_A\omega_B}f_C{}^{AB}f^{CEF}, \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

$$d_E{}^{AC}(c^{DEB}-2d^{DEB})-2c^{D(C|E|}d_E{}^{A)B}=4\eta^{AC}\eta^{BD}, \tag{47}$$

$$d^{CG}{}_{H}d^{DH}{}_{I}f^{I[EF}f^{B]A}{}_{G}=-f^{D[EF}f^{B]AC}. \tag{48}$$

They play very important roles in our computation in the following sections. Here we give their brief derivation. Using (40) and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$c^{ABC}d_C{}^{EF} = -2\int d^2\sigma\sqrt{w(\sigma)}\frac{D^rY^A(\sigma)}{\omega_A}Y^B(\sigma)\partial_r(Y^E(\sigma)Y^F(\sigma)), \tag{49}$$

$$c^{ACE}d_C{}^{EF} = 2\eta^{AB}\eta^{BF}-2\int d^2\sigma\sqrt{w(\sigma)}\frac{D^rY^A(\sigma)}{\omega_A}Y^B(\sigma)\partial_rY^E(\sigma)Y^F(\sigma). \tag{50}$$

The combination of these relations gives (45). In order to derive (46) we rewrite the first term in the l.h.s. of (46) by using (41):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4}c_C{}^{FE}c^{ABC} &= \frac{1}{\omega_A}\int d^2\sigma\sqrt{w(\sigma)}D^sY^A(\sigma)\partial_sY^E(\sigma)Y^F(\sigma)Y^B(\sigma) \\ &\qquad -\frac{1}{\omega_A\omega_C}f^{FE}{}_{C}f^{BAC}-\frac{1}{\omega_A}f_\lambda{}^{EF}f^{\lambda AB}. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

The completeness relation (40) and integration by parts enable us to rewrite the second term in the l.h.s. of (46):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4}c^{AF}{}_{C}c^{BCE} &= \frac{1}{\omega_A}\int d^2\sigma\sqrt{w(\sigma)}D^sY^B(\sigma)\partial_sY^E(\sigma)Y^F(\sigma)Y^A(\sigma) \\ &\qquad -\frac{1}{\omega_A\omega_B}\int d^2\sigma\sqrt{w(\sigma)}D^rY^A(\sigma)\partial_rY_F(\sigma)D^sY^B(\sigma)\partial_sY^E(\sigma)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

From (51) and (52) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4}(c_C{}^{FE}c^{ABC} + c^{AF}{}_C c^{BCE}) \\
&\qquad = -\frac{1}{\omega_A}\left(\frac{1}{\omega_C} f_C{}^{EF} f^{CAB} + f_\lambda{}^{EF} f^{\lambda AB}\right) \\
&\qquad\quad + \int d^2\sigma\sqrt{w(\sigma)}\partial_s Y^E(\sigma) Y^F(\sigma)\left(\frac{D^s Y^A(\sigma)}{\omega_A} Y^B(\sigma) + \frac{D^s Y^B(\sigma)}{\omega_B} Y^A(\sigma)\right) \\
&\qquad\quad - \frac{1}{\omega_A\omega_B} H^{ABFE},
\end{aligned} \tag{53}
$$

where H^{ABFE} is defined by

$$
H^{ABFE} = \int d^2\sigma\sqrt{w(\sigma)} D^r Y^A(\sigma)\partial_r Y^F(\sigma) D^s Y^B(\sigma)\partial_s Y^E(\sigma). \tag{54}
$$

When we antisymmetrize the indices A and B in (53), the second term in the r.h.s of (53) vanishes and the third term turns out to be

$$
H^{[AB]FE} = -\frac{1}{2} f_C{}^{AB} f^{CEF}. \tag{55}
$$

The derivation of this equation requires the identity

$$
\delta^r_t\delta^s_u - \delta^s_t\delta^r_u = \epsilon^{rs}\epsilon_{tu}. \tag{56}
$$

The combination of (53) and (55) gives (46). Next we derive (47). Eq.(40) and integration by parts give the following relation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&d_E{}^{AC}(c^{DEB} - 2d^{DEB}) - 2c^{DCE} d_E{}^{AB} \\
&\quad = 4\eta^{AC}\eta^{BD} \\
&\qquad - \int d^2\sigma\sqrt{w(\sigma)}\left[\frac{D^r Y_D}{\omega_D}\partial_r Y^B Y^A Y^C + Y^D Y^B Y^A Y^C - \frac{D^r Y_D}{\omega_D} Y^C \partial_r (Y^A Y^B)\right] \\
&\quad = 4\eta^{AC}\eta^{BD} - 2\int d^2\sigma\sqrt{w(\sigma)}\frac{D^r Y^D}{\omega_D} Y^B(\partial_r Y^C Y^A - \partial_r Y^A Y^C).
\end{aligned} \tag{57}
$$

From this relation we find the identity (47). Finally we prove the identity (48) . By using (40) and integrating by parts we obtain

$$
d^{CG}{}_H d^{DH}{}_I f^{IEF} f^{BAC} = \int d^2\sigma\sqrt{w(\sigma)} Y^C Y^D \{Y^E\,,\, Y^F\}\{Y^B\,, Y^A\} - f^{DEF} f^{BAC}. \tag{58}
$$

The first term in the r.h.s. vanishes by antisymmetrizing indices B, E and F.

B Some Identities of $SO(9)$ Clifford Algebra

In this section we review some properties of $SO(9)$ gamma matrices $\gamma^a{}_{\alpha\beta}$ ($a = 1, \ldots, 9$; $\alpha, \beta = 1, \ldots, 16$). We can take $\gamma^a{}_{\alpha\beta}$ as real and symmetric matrices, i.e.

$$
(\gamma^a{}_{\alpha\beta})^* = \gamma^a{}_{\alpha\beta}\ , \quad \gamma^a{}_{\alpha\beta} = \gamma^a{}_{\beta\alpha}. \tag{59}
$$

From these gamma matrices we can construct an orthogonal complete basis of 16×16 real matrices (or bilinear products of real spinors) :

$$\left\{ I_{\alpha\beta} \ , \ {\gamma^{a}}_{\alpha\beta} \ , \ {\gamma^{ab}}_{\alpha\beta} \ , \ {\gamma^{abc}}_{\alpha\beta} \ , \ {\gamma^{abcd}}_{\alpha\beta} \ \right\}, \tag{60}$$

where

$$\gamma^{a_1 \cdots a_k} = \gamma^{[a_1} \gamma^{a_2} \cdots \gamma^{a_k]}. \tag{61}$$

I, γ^a and γ^{abcd} are symmetric, and γ^{ab} and γ^{abc} are antisymmetric with respect to the spinorial indices.

The SO(9) gamma matrices satisfy several identities, such as

$$\gamma^a \gamma^{b_1 \cdots b_k} = \gamma^{a b_1 \cdots b_k} + \sum_{l=1}^{k} (-)^{l-1} \delta^{a b_l} \gamma^{b_1 \cdots \check{b}_l \cdots b_k} \quad , \tag{62}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(\gamma^b)_{\alpha\beta}(\gamma_{ab})_{\gamma\delta} + (\gamma^b)_{\gamma\delta}(\gamma_{ab})_{\alpha\beta} + (\gamma^b)_{\alpha\delta}(\gamma_{ab})_{\gamma\beta} + (\gamma^b)_{\gamma\beta}(\gamma_{ab})_{\alpha\delta} \\ &\qquad - 2 I_{\delta\beta}(\gamma_a)_{\gamma\alpha} + 2 I_{\alpha\gamma}(\gamma_a)_{\beta\delta} = 0 \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

By multiplying $(\gamma^a)_{\delta\epsilon}(\theta^{\beta}_{[A} \theta^{\gamma}_{B} \theta^{\epsilon}_{C]})$ to (63) we derive

$$(\gamma_d \theta_{[A})^{\alpha} (\theta_B \gamma^d \theta_{C]}) = \theta^{\alpha}_{[A} (\theta_B \theta_{C]}) \quad . \tag{64}$$

These identities of gamma matrices are useful in carrying out our calculation.

C $(\tilde{M}^{-a}, \tilde{M}^{-b})_{DB}$

In this section we show that (29) holds modulo the first class constraints φ_A and φ_λ.

First we substitute (18) and (21) into the first and second terms in the r.h.s. of (27). The result is

$$-\mathcal{M}^2 \tilde{M}^{ab} - \frac{i}{4} \tilde{Q}^{+} \gamma^{ab} \tilde{Q}^{+} = C_1 + C_2 + C_3 + C_4 + C_5 + C_6, \tag{65}$$

where each C_I ($I = 1, \ldots 6$) is composed of the same type of polynomials in X, P and θ: [5]

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= -\vec{P}_A^2 (-P_D^a X^{bD} + P_D^b X^{aD}), && (66) \\ C_2 &= -\frac{i}{2} P_A^a P_B^b (\theta^A \theta^B) - \frac{i}{2} \{ P_A^a (\theta^A \gamma^{bd} \theta^B) - P_A^b (\theta^A \gamma^{ad} \theta^B) \} P_{dB} \\ &+ \frac{i}{4} \vec{P}_A^2 (\theta_B \gamma^{ab} \theta^B) - \frac{i}{4} \vec{P}_A \cdot \vec{P}_B (\theta^A \gamma^{ab} \theta^B) - \frac{i}{4} P_{dA} P_{eB} (\theta^A \gamma^{abde} \theta^B), && (67) \\ C_3 &= -\frac{i}{2} f_A^{\ BC} X_B^a X_C^b (\theta^A \gamma \cdot P_D \theta^D) \\ &+ \frac{i}{2} f^{ABC} (X_C^a P_D^b - X_C^b P_D^a)(\theta_A \gamma \cdot X_B \theta^D) + i f^{ABC} (X_D^a P^{bD} - X_D^b P^{aD})(\theta_A \gamma \cdot X_B \theta_C) \end{aligned}$$

---

[5] Note that we have expanded the products of gamma matrices in the complete set (60) by using the identities such as (62).

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \frac{i}{2} f^{ABG}\{X^a_A(\theta_B\gamma^b\theta^H) - X^b_A(\theta_B\gamma^a\theta^H)\}\vec{X}_G\cdot\vec{P}_H \\
&- \frac{i}{2} f^{ABC}\{X^a_A(\theta_B\gamma^{bde}\theta^D) - X^b_A(\theta_B\gamma^{ade}\theta^D)\}X_{dC}P_{eD} \\
&- \frac{i}{4} f^{ABC}\{P^a_D(\theta_A\gamma^{bde}\theta^D) - P^b_D(\theta_A\gamma^{ade}\theta^D)\}X_{dB}X_{eC} + \frac{i}{2} f^{ABG}(\theta_A\gamma^{abd}\theta^H)X_{dB}(\vec{X}_G\cdot\vec{P}_H) \\
&+ \frac{i}{4} f^{ABC}(\theta_A\gamma^{abdef}\theta^D)X_{dB}X_{eC}P_{fD}, \qquad (68)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
C_4 = \frac{1}{2}(f_{ABC}X^B_dX^C_e)^2(P^a_DX^{bD} - P^b_DX^{aD}), \qquad (69)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_5 &= \frac{i}{8}(\theta_A\gamma^{ab}\theta^A)(f_{BCD}X^B_cX^D_d)^2 \\
&+ \frac{i}{16}(\theta^B\gamma^{cdabef}\theta^C)X^D_cX^E_dX^F_eX^G_f f_{BDE}f_{CFG} \\
&+ \frac{i}{16}\{\theta^B(-\delta^{ca}\gamma^{dbef} + \delta^{cd}\gamma^{daef} - \delta^{ce}\gamma^{dabf} + \delta^{cf}\gamma^{dabe} + \delta^{da}\gamma^{cbef} - \delta^{db}\gamma^{caef} \\
&\quad +\delta^{de}\gamma^{cabf} - \delta^{df}\gamma^{cabe} - \delta^{ae}\gamma^{cdbf} + \delta^{be}\gamma^{cdaf} + \delta^{af}\gamma^{cdbe} - \delta^{bf}\gamma^{cdae})\theta^C\} \\
&\qquad \times X^D_cX^E_dX^F_eX^G_F f_{BDE}f_{CFG} \\
&+ \frac{i}{8}(\theta^B\gamma^{cd}\theta^C)(X^{aE}X^{bD} - X^{aD}X^{bE})X^F_cX^G_d f_{BDE}f_{CFG} \\
&+ \frac{i}{4}(\theta^B\gamma^{cd}\theta^C)(X^{aF}X^{bD} - X^{bF}X^{aD})X^E_cX^G_d f_{BDE}f_{CFG} \\
&+ \frac{i}{8}(\theta^B\gamma^{ab}\theta^C)(\vec{X}^D\cdot\vec{X}^G)(\vec{X}^E\cdot\vec{X}^F)f_{BDE}f_{CFG} \\
&+ \frac{i}{2}\{(\theta^B\gamma^{ae}\theta^C)X^{bD} - (\theta^B\gamma^{be}\theta^C)X^{aD}\}X^F_e(\vec{X}^E\cdot\vec{X}^G)f_{BDE}f_{CFG} \\
&+ \frac{i}{4}(\theta^B\theta^C)(X^{aE}X^{bF} - X^{aF}X^{bE})(\vec{X}^D\cdot\vec{X}^G)f_{BDE}f_{CFG}, \qquad (70)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
C_6 = \frac{1}{4}f_{ABC}(\theta^A\gamma\cdot X^B\theta^C)(\theta_D\gamma^{ab}\theta^D). \qquad (71)
$$

Next we calculate $(\tilde{M}^{-a}, \tilde{M}^{-b})_{DB}$. By using the definition of the generator $\tilde{M}^{-a}$ in (21) and the Dirac brackets (16), we find

$$
(\tilde{M}^{-a}, \tilde{M}^{-b})_{DB} = B_1 + B_2 + B_3 + B_4 + B_5 + B_6, \qquad (72)
$$

with B_1, B_2, ..., B_6 being given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_1 &= \frac{1}{4}(c^{DEB}d^{AC}_E - 2c^{DCE}d^{AB}_E - 2d^{ACE}d^{BD}_E)(X^a_BP^b_D - X^b_BP^a_D)\vec{P}_A\cdot\vec{P}_C \\
&+ \frac{1}{4}(c^{AF}{}_Cc^{BCE} - c^{FE}_Cc^{BAC})\vec{X}_E\cdot\vec{P}_F(P^a_AP^b_B - P^b_AP^a_B), \qquad (73)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_2 &= [-\frac{i}{4}d^{AEC}d^{BF}_C + \frac{i}{16}\{2c^{EF}_C(c^{ABC} - c^{BAC}) \\
&\qquad +(c^{ACE} - c^{AEC})(c^{BFC} - c^{BCF})\}]P^a_AP^b_B(\theta_E\theta_F) \\
&+ [\frac{i}{8}\{-c^{ABC}d^{EF}_C + (c^{ACE} - c^{AEC})d^{BF}_C\} - \frac{i}{4}d^{AEC}d^{BF}_C] \times
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad\quad \times\{P^a_A(\theta_E\gamma^{bd}\theta_F) - P^b_A(\theta_E\gamma^{ad}\theta_F)\}P_{dB} \\
&+ \frac{i}{4}(d^{ABC}d_C^{\ EF} - d^{AEC}d_C^{\ BF})\vec{P}_A\cdot\vec{P}_B(\theta_E\gamma^{ab}\theta_F) \\
&- \frac{i}{4}d^{AEC}d_C^{\ BF}P_{dA}P_{eB}(\theta_E\gamma^{abde}\theta_F),
\end{aligned}
\tag{74}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_3 &= -\frac{i}{4}(2d^{AD}{}_F d^{BE}{}_G f^{CFG} + d^{AC}{}_G d^{DB}{}_F f^{EFG} - d^{AC}{}_G d^{DG}{}_F f^{FBE}) \times \\
& \qquad\quad \times(\theta_B\gamma\cdot P_A\theta_C)(X^a_D X^b_E - X^b_D X^a_E) \\
&+ \frac{i}{8}\{2d^C{}_{FG}d^{EBF}f^{DAG} + 4d^{DEF}d^B{}_{FG}f^{ACG} - d^{DBF}f^A{}_{FG}(c^{ECG} - c^{EGC}) \\
& -2d^{DBF}f^C{}_{FG}c^{EGA} - d_G^{\ DF}f_F^{\ AB}(c^{ECG} - c^{EGC}) - 2d_G^{\ BF}f_F^{\ AC}c^{EGD}\} \times \\
& \qquad\quad \times(\theta_B\gamma\cdot X_A\theta_C)(X^a_D P^b_E - X^b_D P^a_E) \\
&+ \frac{i}{4}(d^E{}_{FG}d^{CDF}f^{ABG} + c^{FCA}d^{DBG}f^E{}_{FG} + d^{BDF}d^{GCE}f^A{}_{FG} - d_G^{\ BF}d^{GCE}f_F^{\ DA}) \times \\
& \qquad\quad \times\{X^a_B(\theta_D\gamma^b\theta_E) - X^b_B(\theta_D\gamma^a\theta_E)\}\vec{X}_A\cdot\vec{P}_C \\
&+ \frac{i}{4}(-d^E{}_{FG}d^{CDF}f^{ABG} + d^A{}_{FG}d^{CEG}f^{DBF} - d_F^{\ AD}d_G^{\ CE}f^{FGB} + d_F^{\ AC}d_G^{\ DE}f^{BGF}) \times \\
& \times\{X^a_A(\theta_D\gamma^{bed}\theta_E) - X^b_A(\theta_D\gamma^{ade}\theta_E)\}P_{dC}X_{eB} \\
&+ \frac{i}{16}\{(2d^{CDF} - c^{CFD} + c^{CDF})d^E{}_{FG}f^{ABG} + 2c^{CFA}d^{DEG}f^B{}_{FG}\} \times \\
& \qquad \times\{P^a_C(\theta_D\gamma^{bed}\theta_E) - P^b_C(\theta_D\gamma^{aed}\theta_E)\}X_{dA}X_{eB} \\
&+ \frac{i}{4}(c^{FED}d^{BCG}f^A{}_{FG} - 2d^C{}_{FG}d^{EBF}f^{DAG})(\theta_B\gamma^{abd}\theta_C)X_{dA}(\vec{X}_D\cdot\vec{P}_E) \\
&- \frac{i}{4}d^E{}_{FG}d^{CDF}f^{ABG}(\theta_D\gamma^{abdef}\theta_E)X_{dA}X_{eB}P_{fC},
\end{aligned}
\tag{75}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_4 &= \frac{1}{8}(d_H^{\ IC}f_I^{\ DE}f_C^{\ FG}c^{BHA} + 4d^{AIC}f_I^{\ DE}f^F{}_{HC}c^{BHG} - 2d^{ABC}d_C^{\ IH}f_I^{\ GD}f_H^{\ EF}) \times \\
& \qquad \times(X^a_A P^b_B - X^b_A P^a_B)(\vec{X}_G\cdot\vec{X}_E)(\vec{X}_D\cdot\vec{X}_F) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}(d^{AIC}f_I^{\ DE}f_C^{\ FH}c_H^{\ BG} - 2d_C^{\ AB}d^{EIH}f_I^{\ DG}f_H^{\ FC}) \times \\
& \qquad \times(X^a_A X^b_E - X^b_A X^a_E)(\vec{X}_D\cdot\vec{X}_F)(\vec{P}_B\cdot\vec{X}_G),
\end{aligned}
\tag{76}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_5 &= \frac{i}{16}(\theta_A\gamma^{acdbef}\theta_B)X_{cC}X_{dD}X_{eE}X_{fF}d^A{}_{GH}d_I^{\ BH}f^{CDG}f^{EFI} \\
&+ \frac{i}{16}\{\theta_A(-\delta^{ae}\gamma^{cdbf} + \delta^{af}\gamma^{cdbe} - \delta^{cb}\gamma^{adef} + \delta^{ce}\gamma^{adbf} - \delta^{cf}\gamma^{adbe} + \delta^{db}\gamma^{acef} \\
& -\delta^{de}\gamma^{acbf} + \delta^{df}\gamma^{acbe})\theta_B\}d^A{}_{GH}d_I^{\ BH}f^{CDG}f^{EFI} \\
&+ \frac{i}{8}\{(\theta_D\gamma^{ebcd}\theta_F)X^a_I - (\theta_D\gamma^{eacd}\theta_F)X^b_I\}X_{cG}X_{dH}X_{eE} \times \\
& \times(d_C^{\ DI}d^F{}_{AB}f^{AGH}f^{BCE} + f^{DEC}d^I{}_{CB}d_A^{\ FB}f^{AGH}) \\
&+ \frac{i}{8}(\theta_A\gamma^{ab}\theta_B)(\vec{X}_D\cdot\vec{X}_E)(\vec{X}_C\cdot\vec{X}_F)(d^A{}_{GH}d_I^{\ BH}f^{CDG}f^{EFI} + d_G^{\ AB}d^G{}_{HI}f^{ECH}f^{DFI}) \\
&+ \frac{i}{4}\{(\theta_A\gamma^{ae}\theta_B)X^b_C - (\theta_A\gamma^{be}\theta_B)X^a_C\}(\vec{X}_D\cdot\vec{X}_F)X_{eE} \times
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \times(d^{A}_{GH}d^{BH}_{I}f^{CDG}f^{EFI}-d^{AB}_{G}d^{C}_{HI}f^{DGH}f^{EFI} \\
& \quad +d^{B}_{GH}d^{AC}_{I}f^{FEG}f^{DHI}-d^{B}_{GH}d^{CH}_{I}f^{FEG}f^{DAI}) \\
+ & \frac{i}{4}(\theta_B\gamma^{cd}\theta_C)X^a_FX^b_DX^c_EX^d_G\times \\
& \times(f^{DE}_{H}d^{BH}_{I}d^{CI}_{J}f^{FGJ}-f^{EB}_{H}d^{FH}_{I}d^{DI}_{J}f^{GCJ} \\
& \quad +f^{EB}_{H}d^{FH}_{I}f^{GI}_{J}d^{CDJ}-d^{BF}_{H}f^{EH}_{I}d^{DI}_{J}f^{GCJ}) \\
& \quad +d^{BF}_{H}f^{EH}_{I}f^{GI}_{J}d^{CDJ}+f^{EG}_{H}d^{CH}_{I}f^{DI}_{J}d^{BFJ} \\
& \quad -f^{EG}_{H}d^{CH}_{I}d^{FI}_{J}f^{DBJ}) \\
+ & \frac{i}{8}(\theta_A\theta_B)(X^a_CX^b_D-X^b_CX^a_D)(\vec{X}_E\cdot\vec{X}_F)\times \\
& \times(d^{A}_{GH}d^{BH}_{I}f^{FDG}f^{ECI}-2c^{AB}_{G}d^{C}_{HI}f^{DEH}f^{FGI}) \\
+ & \frac{i}{4}(\theta_A\theta_D)(\vec{X}_B\cdot\vec{X}_C)(X^a_EX^b_F)\times \\
& \times(-f^{BA}_{G}d^{EG}_{H}d^{FH}_{I}f^{CDI}+f^{BA}_{G}d^{EG}_{H}f^{CH}_{I}d^{DFI} \\
& \quad -d^{AE}_{H}f^{BH}_{G}d^{FG}_{I}f^{CDI}+d^{AE}_{H}f^{BH}_{I}f^{CI}_{G}d^{DFG}),
\end{aligned}
\tag{77}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_6 = & \frac{1}{4}d^{ABC}f^{DE}_{C}d^{GH}_{A}(\theta_G\gamma^{ab}\theta_H)(\theta_B\gamma\cdot X_D\theta_E) \\
- & \frac{1}{8}d^{ABC}f^{DE}_{C}d^{GH}_{D}\{X^a_A(\theta_B\gamma_c\theta_E)(\theta_G\gamma^{bc}\theta_H)-X^b_A(\theta_B\gamma_c\theta_E)(\theta_G\gamma^{ac}\theta_H)\} \\
+ & \frac{1}{16}d^{ABC}f^{DE}_{A}d^{GH}_{D}X^d_E\{(\theta_B\gamma^{ac}\theta_C)(\theta_G\gamma^{bdc}\theta_H)-(\theta_B\gamma^{bc}\theta_C)(\theta_G\gamma^{adc}\theta_H)\} \\
- & \frac{1}{8}d^{DE}_{A}f^{AC}_{B}c^{BGH}X_{cC}(\theta_D\gamma^{abc}\theta_E)(\theta_G\theta_H) \\
+ & \frac{1}{8}d^{ABC}f^{DE}_{C}c^{GH}_{D}\{X^a_A(\theta_B\gamma^b\theta_E)-X^b_A(\theta_B\gamma^a\theta_E)\}(\theta_G\theta_H).
\end{aligned}
\tag{78}
$$

In order to see the cancellation between C_I and B_I ($I=1,...,6$), it is convenient to rewrite B_I by using the identities in the appendices A and B. Eq.(47) reduces B_1 to

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_1 = & \vec{P}^2_A(X^a_BP^{bB}-X^b_BP^{aB}) \\
+ & \frac{1}{4}(c^{AF}{}_{C}c^{BCE}+c^{FE}_{C}c^{ABC})\vec{X}_E\cdot\vec{P}_F(P^a_AP^b_B-P^b_AP^a_B).
\end{aligned}
\tag{79}
$$

By using (42),(43) and (45) we rearrange B_2 and B_3 as

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_2 = & -\frac{i}{4}[-2P^a_AP^b_B(\theta^A\theta^B)-2\{P^a_A(\theta^A\gamma^{bd}\theta^B)-P^b_A(\theta^A\gamma^{ad}\theta^B)\}P_{dB} \\
& +\vec{P}^2_A(\theta_B\gamma^{ab}\theta^B)-\vec{P}_A\cdot\vec{P}_B(\theta^A\gamma^{ab}\theta^B)-P_{dA}P_{eB}(\theta^A\gamma^{abde}\theta^B)] \\
+ & \frac{i}{8}(c^{EF}_{C}c^{ABC}+c^{AE}{}_{C}c^{BCF})(P^a_AP^b_B-P^b_AP^a_B)(\theta_E\theta_F),
\end{aligned}
\tag{80}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_3 = & \frac{i}{2}f^{ABC}(\theta_A\gamma\cdot P_D\theta^D)(X^a_BX^b_C) \\
- & \frac{i}{2}f^{ABC}(\theta_A\gamma\cdot X_B\theta^D)(X^a_CP^b_D-X^b_CP^a_D)-if^{ABC}(\theta_A\gamma\cdot X_B\theta_C)(X^a_DP^{bD}-X^b_DP^{aD})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&+ \frac{i}{2} f^{ABG}\{X^a_A(\theta_B\gamma^b\theta^H) - X^b_A(\theta_B\gamma^a\theta^H)\}\vec{X}_G\cdot\vec{P}_H \\
&\quad + \frac{i}{2} f^{DGH}\{X^a_A(\theta^A\gamma^b\theta_D) - X^b_A(\theta^A\gamma^a\theta_D)\}\vec{X}_G\cdot\vec{P}_H \\
&\quad - \frac{i}{4} d^{ABC}(c_{DC}{}^E f^{DGH} + c_{\lambda C}{}^E f^{\lambda GH})\{X^a_A(\theta_B\gamma^b\theta_E) - X^b_A(\theta_B\gamma^a\theta_E)\}\vec{X}_G\cdot\vec{P}_H \\
&+ \frac{i}{2} f^{ABC}\{X^a_A(\theta_B\gamma^{bde}\theta^D) - X^b_A(\theta_B\gamma^{ade}\theta^D)\}X_{dC}P_{eD} \\
&+ \frac{i}{4} f^{ABC}\{P^a_D(\theta_A\gamma^{bde}\theta^D) - P^b_D(\theta_A\gamma^{ade}\theta^D)\}X_{dB}X_{eC} \\
&- \frac{i}{2} f^{ABG}(\theta_A\gamma^{abd}\theta^H)X_{dB}(\vec{X}_G\cdot\vec{P}_H) + \frac{i}{2} f^{EGH}(\theta_D\gamma^{abd}\theta^D)X_{dE}(\vec{X}_G\cdot\vec{P}_H) \\
&\quad - \frac{i}{4} d_A^{\ DE}(c_B^{\ AC} f^{BGH} + c_\lambda^{\ AC} f^{\lambda GH})(\theta_D\gamma^{abd}\theta_E)X_{dC}(\vec{X}_G\cdot\vec{P}_H) \\
&- \frac{i}{4} f^{ABC}(\theta_A\gamma^{abdef}\theta_D)(X_{dB}X_{eC}P^D_f).
\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

B_4 is rewritten by using (42), (43) and (47):

$$\begin{aligned}
B_4 &= \frac{1}{2}(f_{ABC}X^B_d X^C_e)^2(X^a_D P^{bD} - X^b_D P^{aD}) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} d^{AIC} f_I^{\ DE}(X^a_A X^b_E - X^b_A X^a_E)\vec{X}_D\cdot\vec{X}_F(c_{HC}{}^F f^{HBG} + c_{\lambda C}{}^F f^{\lambda BG})\vec{P}_B\cdot\vec{X}_G \\
&\qquad + f^{DAE}(X^a_A X^b_E - X^b_A X^a_E)\vec{X}_D\cdot\vec{X}_F f^{FBG}\vec{P}_B\cdot\vec{X}_G.
\end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

We adopt (42), (43) and (48) to rewrite B_5 as

$$\begin{aligned}
B_5 &= -\frac{i}{16}(\theta_B\gamma^{abcdef}\theta_C)(X_{cD}X_{dE}X_{eF}X_{fG})f^{BDE}f^{CFG} \\
&- \frac{i}{16}\{\theta_B(-\delta^{ae}\gamma^{cdbf} + \delta^{af}\gamma^{cdbe} - \delta^{cb}\gamma^{adef} + \delta^{ce}\gamma^{adbf} - \delta^{cf}\gamma^{adbe} \\
&\quad + \delta^{db}\gamma^{acef} - \delta^{de}\gamma^{acbf} + \delta^{df}\gamma^{acbe})\theta_C\}X_{cD}X_{dE}X_{eF}X_{fG}f^{BDE}f^{CFG} \\
&- \frac{i}{8}\{(\theta_D\gamma^{ebcd}\theta_F)X^a_I - (\theta_D\gamma^{eacd}\theta_F)X^b_I\}X_{cG}X_{dH}X_{eE}f^{DEI}f^{FGH} \\
&- \frac{i}{8}(\theta_A\gamma^{ab}\theta_B)(\vec{X}_D\cdot\vec{X}_G)(\vec{X}_E\cdot\vec{X}_F)f^{EDA}f^{GFB} - \frac{i}{8}(\theta_A\gamma^{ab}\theta^A)(f_I^{\ CD}X_{cC}X_{dD})^2 \\
&- \frac{i}{2}\{(\theta_A\gamma^{ae}\theta_B)X^b_C - (\theta_A\gamma^{be}\theta_B)X^a_C\}(\vec{X}_D\cdot\vec{X}_F)X_{eE}f^{CDA}f^{EFB} \\
&- \frac{i}{4}(\theta_B\gamma^{cd}\theta_C)(X^a_F X^b_D - X^a_D X^b_F)X_{cE}X_{dG}f^{BDE}f^{CFG} \\
&\quad + \frac{i}{8}(\theta_B\gamma^{cd}\theta_C)(X^a_D X^b_E - X^b_D X^a_E)(X_{cF}X_{dG})f^{BDE}f^{CFG} \\
&+ \frac{i}{2}(\theta_B\theta_C)(\vec{X}_E\cdot\vec{X}_F)X^a_D X^b_G(f^{BDE}f^{CGF} + 2f^{EDG}f^{FBC}) \\
&\quad + \frac{i}{4} d^{AKC} f_K^{\ DE}(X^a_A X^b_E - X^b_A X^a_E)(\vec{X}_D\cdot\vec{X}_F)(c_{HC}{}^F f^{HBG} + c_{\lambda C}{}^F f^{\lambda BG})(\theta_B\theta_G).
\end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

Finally, rearrangement of B_6 requires (42), (43) and (63). The result is

$$\begin{aligned}
B_6 &= -\frac{1}{4}f_{ABC}(\theta^A\gamma\cdot X^B\theta^C)(\theta_D\gamma^{ab}\theta^D) \\
&- \frac{1}{8}d_A^{\ DE}(\theta_D\gamma^{abd}\theta_E)X_{dC}\{c_B^{\ AC}f^{BGH}(\theta_G\theta_H)+c_\lambda^{\ AC}f^{\lambda GH}(\theta_G\theta_H)\} \\
&+ \frac{1}{4}(\theta_D\gamma^{abd}\theta^D)X_{dE}f^{EGH}(\theta_G\theta_H) \\
&- \frac{1}{8}d^{ABC}\{X_A^a(\theta_B\gamma^b\theta_E)-X_A^b(\theta_B\gamma^a\theta_E)\}\{c_{DC}{}^E f^{DGH}(\theta_G\theta_H)+c_{\lambda C}{}^E f^{\lambda GH}(\theta_G\theta_H)\} \\
&+ \frac{1}{4}\{X_A^a(\theta^A\gamma^b\theta_D)-X_A^b(\theta^A\gamma^a\theta_D)\}f^{DGH}(\theta_G\theta_H). \qquad (84)
\end{aligned}$$

We are now in a position to verify the cancellation of (27) modulo the first class constraints $(\varphi_A,\varphi_\lambda)$. For this purpose we separate (27) into three parts, namely,

$$\begin{aligned}
C &= C^{(1)}+C^{(2)}+C^{(3)}, \qquad (85) \\
C^{(1)} &\equiv B_3+C_3+B_6+C_6, \\
C^{(2)} &\equiv B_1+C_1+B_2+C_2, \\
C^{(3)} &\equiv B_4+C_4+B_5+C_5.
\end{aligned}$$

After simple calculation we find

$$\begin{aligned}
C^{(1)} &= \frac{i}{2}\{X_A^a(\theta^A\gamma^b\theta_D)-X_A^b(\theta^A\gamma^a\theta_D)\}\varphi^D \\
&\quad -\frac{i}{4}\{X_A^a(\theta_B\gamma^b\theta_E)-X_A^b(\theta_B\gamma^a\theta^E)\}d^{ABC}(c_{DC}{}^E\varphi^D+c_{\lambda C}{}^E\varphi^\lambda) \\
&+ \frac{i}{2}(\theta_D\gamma^{abd}\theta^D)X_{dE}\varphi^E-\frac{i}{4}(\theta_D\gamma^{abd}\theta_E)X_{dC}d_A^{\ DE}(c_B^{\ AC}\varphi^B+c_\lambda^{\ AC}\varphi^\lambda), \qquad (86) \\
C^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{4}(c^{AF}{}_C c^{BCE}+c_C^{\ FE}c^{ABC})(\vec{X}_E\cdot\vec{P}_F-\frac{i}{2}\theta_E\theta_F)(P_A^aP_B^b-P_A^bP_B^a), \qquad (87) \\
C^{(3)} &= -\frac{1}{2}d^{AIC}f_I^{\ DE}(X_A^aX_E^b-X_A^bX_E^a)\vec{X}_D\cdot\vec{X}_F(c_{HC}{}^F\varphi^H+c_{\lambda C}{}^F\varphi^\lambda) \\
&\qquad -f^{DAE}(X_A^aX_E^b-X_A^bX_E^a)\vec{X}_D\cdot\vec{X}_F\varphi^F. \qquad (88)
\end{aligned}$$

We see that $C^{(1)}$ and $C^{(3)}$ are already written in the form of linear combinations of φ_A and φ_λ. In order to show that $C^{(2)}$ is also linear in the constraints, we have to apply (46). The result is

$$C^{(2)}=\{-\frac{1}{\omega_A}(\frac{1}{\omega_C}f^{CAB}\varphi_C+f^{\lambda AB}\varphi_\lambda)+\frac{1}{2\omega_A\omega_B}f_C^{\ AB}\varphi^C\}(P_A^aP_B^b-P_A^bP_B^a). \qquad (89)$$

Thus we have proved that all the terms in (27) sum up to give (31).

D $(\tilde{Q}^+,\tilde{M}^{-a})_{DB}$

In this section we show that the relation $(\tilde{Q}^+,\tilde{M}^{-a})_{DB}=0$ holds modulo the first class constraints. By using relations (16), we can write down the result in the following way,

$$(\tilde{Q}^+,\tilde{M}^{-a})_{DB}=D_1+D_2+D_3+D_4, \qquad (90)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(D_1)_\alpha &= -\frac{1}{2}(\gamma^a\theta_A)_\alpha \vec{P}_B \cdot \vec{P}_C d^{ABC} - \frac{1}{4}(\gamma \cdot P_A\theta_B)_\alpha P^a_C(c^{CBA} + c^{CAB}) \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{2}(\gamma \cdot P_A\gamma^{da}\theta_C)_\alpha P_{dB} d^{ABC}, \qquad (91) \\
(D_2)_\alpha &= -\frac{1}{4}(\gamma^a\theta_A)_\alpha(\vec{X}_B \cdot \vec{X}_C)(\vec{X}_D \cdot \vec{X}_E) d^A{}_{FG} f^{BDF} f^{CEG} \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{8}(\gamma \cdot X_A\gamma \cdot X_B\gamma^{ade}\theta_C)_\alpha X_{dD}X_{eE} d^C{}_{FG} f^{ABG} f^{EDF} \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}(\gamma \cdot X_A\theta_B)_\alpha X^a_C(\vec{X}_D \cdot \vec{X}_E)(d^C{}_{FG} f^{ADF} f^{BEG} + d^C{}_{FG} f^{BDF} f^{AEG}) \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{4}(\gamma \cdot X_A\gamma \cdot X_B\gamma \cdot X_C\theta_D)_\alpha X^a_E(d^E{}_{FG} f^{ABF} f^{CDG} + d^{DE}{}_F f^{AB}{}_G f^{CFG}), \qquad (92) \\
(D_3)_\alpha &= \frac{1}{2}(\gamma \cdot P_A\gamma \cdot X_B\theta_C)_\alpha X^a_D(d^{CD}{}_F f^{BAF}) \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}(\gamma \cdot X_B\gamma \cdot P_A\theta_C)_\alpha X^a_D d^{AD}{}_F f^{BCF} \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{2}(\gamma^{ab}\theta_A)_\alpha X_{dB}(\vec{X}_C \cdot \vec{P}_D) c^{EDC} f^{BA}{}_E \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{4}\left[(\gamma \cdot P_A\gamma^{ade}\theta_B)_\alpha X_{dC}X_{eD} + (\gamma \cdot X_C\gamma \cdot X_D\gamma^{ab}\theta_B)_\alpha P_{dA}\right] d^{AB}{}_E f^{CDE} \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{8}(\gamma \cdot X_A\gamma \cdot X_B\theta_C)_\alpha P^a_D \\
&\qquad \times(-2c^{DEB} f^{AC}{}_E + 2c^{DEA} f^{BC}{}_E + c^{DEC} f^{AB}{}_E - c^{DCE} f^{AB}{}_E), \qquad (93) \\
(D_4)_\alpha &= \frac{i}{2}(\gamma^a\theta_A)_\alpha(\theta_B\gamma \cdot X_C\theta_D) d^{AB}{}_E f^{CDE} \\
&\quad +\frac{i}{4}(\gamma^{ad}\theta_B)_\alpha X_{dA}(\theta_C\theta_D) c^{ECD} f^{AB}{}_E \\
&\quad +\frac{i}{4}\left[-(\gamma_d\theta_A)_\alpha(\theta_B\gamma^{ade}\theta_C) + (\gamma^{ed}\theta_A)_\alpha(\theta_B\gamma^a{}_d\theta_C)\right] X_{eD} d^{BC}{}_E f^{DAE} \\
&\quad +\frac{i}{2}(\gamma_d\theta_A)_\alpha(\theta_B\gamma^d\theta_C) X^a_D d^{BD}{}_E f^{ACE}. \qquad (94)
\end{aligned}$$

After decomposing the products of gamma matrices into the complete basis (60), the identities (42) and (43) lead us to find that D_1 and D_2 vanish and

$$(D_3)_\alpha = \theta_{\alpha C}(\vec{X}_B \cdot \vec{P}_A) X^a_D d^{CD}{}_F f^{BAF} + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^{ab}\theta_A)_\alpha X_{dB}(\vec{X}_C \cdot \vec{P}_D)(c_E{}^{AB} f^{ECD} + c_\lambda{}^{AB} f^{\lambda CD}). \qquad (95)$$

The third term in the r.h.s of (94) is rewritten as

$$\frac{i}{4}\left[(\gamma_d)_{\alpha\beta}(\gamma^{ed})_{\gamma\delta} + (\gamma^{ed})_{\alpha\beta}(\gamma_d)_{\gamma\delta}\right] \theta_{\beta A}(\theta_B\gamma^a)_\gamma \theta_{\delta C} X_{eD} d^{BC}{}_E f^{DAE}. \qquad (96)$$

The identity (63) and similar calculations enable us to rewrite this term as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{i}{6}\left[(\gamma_d\theta_C)_\alpha(\theta_B\gamma^d\theta_A) + 2(\theta_C\theta_A)\theta_{\alpha B}\right] X^a_D d^{BC}{}_E f^{DAE} \\
&\quad +\frac{i}{2}\left[(\gamma^a\theta_C)_\alpha(\theta_A\gamma^e\theta_B) + (\theta_B\theta_A)(\gamma^{ea}\theta_C)_\alpha\right] X_{eD} d^{BC}{}_E f^{DAE}. \qquad (97)
\end{aligned}$$

After combining with this term the other two terms in (94) and using (43),

$$\begin{aligned}(D_4)_\alpha &= -\frac{i}{4}(\gamma^{ad}\theta_C)_\alpha X_{dD}(\theta_B\theta_A)(c_E{}^{CD}f^{EBA}+c_\lambda{}^{CD}f^{\lambda BA}) \\ &\quad -\frac{i}{3}\left[(\theta_B\theta_A)\theta_{\alpha C}+(\theta_C\theta_A)\theta_{\alpha B}\right]X^a_D d^{BD}{}_E f^{CAE} \\ &\quad -\frac{i}{2}\left[(\gamma_d\theta_{[A})^\alpha(\theta_B\gamma^d\theta_{C]})\right]X^a_D d^{BD}{}_E f^{CAE}. \end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

By using (64) in the third term of the r.h.s. of the above equation, we finally obtain

$$(D_4)_\alpha = -\frac{i}{4}(\gamma^{ad}\theta_C)_\alpha X_{dD}(\theta_B\theta_A)(c_E{}^{CD}f^{EBA}+c_\lambda{}^{CD}f^{\lambda BA}) \quad -\frac{i}{2}(\theta_C\theta_A)\theta_{\alpha B}d^{BD}{}_E f^{CAE}. \tag{99}$$

From (95) and (99) we conclude that

$$(\tilde{Q}^+_\alpha, \tilde{M}^{-a})_{DB} = \theta_{\alpha C}X^a_D d^{BD}{}_E\varphi^E + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^{ad}\theta_C)_\alpha X_{dD}(c_E{}^{CD}\varphi^E + c_\lambda{}^{CD}\varphi^\lambda). \tag{100}$$

E c_{ABC} in terms of d_{ABC}

In this section we derive the equation (33) which describes c_{ABC} in terms of the invariant tensor d_{ABC}.

Let us recall one of the relations in (42)

$$c_{ABC} + c_{ACB} = -2d_{ABC}. \tag{101}$$

By using the definitions of c_{ABC} and d_{ABC} and performing integration by parts, we find another relation:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{ABC} - c_{ACB} &= 2\int d^2\sigma \frac{1}{\omega_A} Y_A(Y_B\Delta Y_C - Y_C\Delta Y_B) \\ &= 2\frac{\omega_B-\omega_C}{\omega_A} d_{ABC}. \end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

Combining these two relations we can express c_{ABC} in terms of d_{ABC}:

$$c_{ABC} = (\frac{\omega_B-\omega_C}{\omega_A} - 1)d_{ABC}. \tag{103}$$

This completes the proof of (33).

F Supersymmetry algebra in M(atrix) theory

The authors of [5] observed some discrepancies in the supercharge algebra between dWHN model and M(atrix) theory. In this section, we would like to indicate that there are some missing terms in the M(atrix) computation and the alleged discrepancy can be removed.

We define the supercharge Q and the Dirac brackets between the canonical variables X, P, θ of M(atrix) theory in the same way as [5]. By using their defining relations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\{Q_\alpha\ ,\ Q_\beta\}_{DB} \ = \ & 4RH\delta_{\alpha\beta} \\ & +2R\mathrm{Tr}\left(-i\{P^a\ ,\ [X_a\ ,\ X_b]\} - i\left[[X_b\ ,\ \theta^{\alpha'}]\ ,\ \theta_{\alpha'}\right]\right)(\gamma^b)_{\alpha\beta} \\ & +2R\mathrm{Tr}\left(X^{[a}X^bX^cX^{d]}\right)(\gamma_{abcd})_{\alpha\beta} \\ & -4iR\mathrm{Tr}\left([X_b\ ,\ \frac{3}{8}\theta\gamma^{ab}\theta]\right)(\gamma_a)_{\alpha\beta} \\ & +4iR\mathrm{Tr}\left([X_{[a}\ ,\ \frac{1}{48}(\theta\gamma_{bcd]}\theta)]\right)(\gamma^{abcd})_{\alpha\beta}. \end{aligned} \tag{104}$$

This result coincides with that of dWHN [2] except that $\mathrm{Tr}\left(X^{[a}X^bX^cX^{d]}\right)$ term is automatically vanishing in the supermembrane calculation. The last two θ-bilinear terms in the r.h.s. of the above equation were absent in [5]. These two terms are originated from the second term in the r.h.s. of the following relation,

$$[P^a{}_i{}^j\ ,\ Q_\alpha]_{DB} = -i\sqrt{R}\left(2[X_d\ ,\ \theta^{\alpha'}]_i{}^j(\gamma^{ab})_{\alpha\alpha'} + \mathrm{Tr}[\{D({}^j{}_i)\ ,\ \theta^{\alpha'}\}\ ,\ X_d](\gamma^{ad})_{\alpha\alpha'}\right), \tag{105}$$

where $D({}^j{}_i)$ is matrix valued quantity whose (k,p)-component is defined as

$$D({}^j{}_i)_k{}^p = \delta_k{}^j\delta_i{}^p. \tag{106}$$

By keeping this term, we have recovered the θ-bilinear terms.

Here we should make a remark. The recoverd θ-bilinear terms cannot be observed even if we consider topologically nontrivial configurations such as winding sectors of $\{X^a\}$. This is because these terms are originated from the second term of (105) which is expected to vanish in the configurations with a well-defined supercharge Q_α. In this respect, it is proper to say that there is no discrepancy between the result of dWHN [2] and that of [5], at least practically.

References

[1] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S.H. Shenker and L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. D55 (1997), 5112-5128, "M theory as a Matrix Model: A Conjecture", hep-th/9610043.

[2] B. de Wit, J. Hoppe and H. Nicolai, Nucl. Phys. B 305 [FS23] (1988), 545-581.

[3] J. Polchinski and P. Pouliot, "Membrane Scattering with M-Momentum Transfer", hep-th/9704029.

[4] B. de Wit, U. Marquard and H. Nicolai, Commun. Math. Phys. 128 (1990), 39-62.

[5] T. Banks, N. Seiberg and S. Shenker, "Branes from Matrices", hep-th/9612157.

[6] J. Hoppe, MIT Ph.D. Thesis (1982), Elem. Part. Res. J. 80 (Kyoto, 1989), 145;
S. Klimek and A. Lesniewski, Commun. Math. Phys. 146 (1992), 103-122; Lett. Math. Phys. 24 (1992), 125;
M. Bordemann, E. Meinrenken and M. Schlichenmaier, Commun. Math. Phys. 165 (1994), 281-296.

[7] S. Melosch, Diploma Thesis (1990), unpublished.